

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/820,819	03/30/2001	Akio Tanaka	P 280082 U3-0109-RH 4490			
75	590 10/23/2002					
PILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP			EXAM	EXAMINER		
1600 TYSONS BOULEVARD MCLEAN, VA 22102			TUNG, TA HSUNG			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1743	6		
	<u></u>					

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No. 09/820 8/9 Applicant(s)

TANAKA

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Tilak	Group Art Unit	Pener No. 6
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover she	ort honouth the or	<del>''-''</del>	
	Oli uli Cotor Silv	et veneaur uie s	<i>Же</i> эринченое а	JUI 655—
P riod for Reply		7		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(S	3) FROM THE MA	ILING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default,</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	ply within the statuto expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the applic	ory minimum of thirty ( THS from the mailing o ation to become ABA	30) days will be consi date of this communion NDONED (35 U.S.C. §	idered timely. cation. § 133).
Status				
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				·
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>			•	
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935</li> </ul>			to the merits is o	:losed in
Disposition of Claims				
☐ Claim(s)		is/are p	pending in the app	lication.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are v	withdrawn from co	nsideration.
□ Claim(s) (	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is/are a	illowed.	
Claim(s)(	•	is/are r	ejected.	
□ Claim(s)		is/are o	objected to.	
□ Claim(s)				or election
Application Papers	_ ,	require		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		• •	ed.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ed to by the Exan	niner		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	•			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			•	
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
☑ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 1	19 (a)-(d).	•	
☑ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:				
☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re				
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re				
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents	1			
in this national stage application from the International	•			
*Certified copies not received:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u> </u>
Attachment(s)				
☐ Information Disclosure Stat ment(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(	s)	☐ Interview Sumi	mary, PTO-413	
☑ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	•	☐ Notice of Infor	mal Pat nt Applica	ation, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		□ Oth r		
Office Ac	ti n Summary			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. \_

Art Unit: 1102

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over admitted prior art in view of Hasei etal 6,319,377 or Kato etal 5,928,494.

Figure 1 of the instant drawings represents an admittedly old gas sensor. The figure shows applicant's basic sensor including four cells. One of the cells measures the EMF between the sample gas and a reference gas by way of a voltage measuring device V4. The electrodes of this cell are located on different solid electrolyte plates. Applicant's claims differ by calling for the electrodes of this cell to be on a same surface of an electrolyte plate.

Hasei discloses electrodes 4a and 5 of a cell located on the same surface of a solid electrolyte plate. See figure 1 and the paragraph connecting columns 4 and 5. Kato discloses electrodes 45 and 46 of a cell located on the same surface of a solid electrolyte plate. See figure 3; col. 7, lines 17-26.

It would have been obvious to modify the admittedly old sensor of instant figure 1 by arranging electrodes of the cell with a measuring device V4 on the same side of a solid electrolyte plate in view of Hasei or Kato. Such a configuration would minimize the risk of electrical interference with other cells as well as provide a streamline design.

Application/Control Number: 09/820,819

Art Unit: 1102

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over admitted prior art in view of Hasei etal or Kato etal and Shibata etal 4,882,033.

This claim further differs by calling for an alumina plate between two cells.

Shibata discloses an alumina insulating plate 6, 32 separating two cells of a solid electrolyte sensor. See col. 6, line 27 and col. 8, line 65. It would have been obvious to add an alumina insulating layer between two cells of the admitted old sensor of instant figure 1 so as to minimize the risk of electrical interference between them.

Claims 3 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3, lines 6-7, "in and out to and from" does not read correctly.

Claim 11, lines 22-23, "second reference gas chamber is disposed to be exposed to the first and second chambers...." is vague. The second reference gas chamber is presumably chamber 14. It is unclear how chamber 14 is exposed to the first chamber 11 or the second chamber 12, since electrolyte plate 55 blocks such exposure.

The examiner can be reached at 703-308-3329. His supervisor Jill Warden can be reached at 703-308-4037. Any general inquiry should be directed to the receptionist at 703-308-0661. A fax number for TC 1700 is 703-872-9310.

Application/Control Number: 09/820,819

Art Unit: 1102

Ta Tung

Page 4

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1743